

Energy equipartition in two-dimensional granular systems with spherical intruders

I. Szelengowicz,¹ M. A. Hasan,² Y. Starosvetsky,³ A. Vakakis,² and C. Daraio¹

¹*Graduate Aerospace Laboratories, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, USA*

²*Department of Mechanical Science and Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1206 West Green Street, Urbana, Illinois 61822, USA*

³*Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Technion City, Haifa 3200, Israel*

(Received 21 June 2012; published 14 March 2013)

We study the effects of a line of spherical interstitial particles (or intruders) placed between two adjacent uncompressed chains of larger particles in a square packing of spheres, using experiments and numerical simulations. We excite one of the chains of particles adjacent to the intruders with an impact and show how energy is transmitted across the system until equipartition is reached from the excited (or impacted) chain to the absorbing (or adjacent) chain. The coupling of the two chains, although a purely two-dimensional effect, is modeled by a simplified one-and-a-half-dimensional system in which transverse motions of the particles are neglected.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.87.032204](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.032204)

PACS number(s): 45.70.-n, 05.45.-a, 46.40.Cd

I. INTRODUCTION

Granular crystals are unique nonlinear systems that exhibit interesting properties stemming from the nonlinear contact interactions (Hertzian [1]) between two individual particles. Uniform one-dimensional (1D) chains of spheres have been shown to support the propagation of a new type of solitary wave. The width of these waves is independent of its velocity [2–5]. The degree of nonlinearity can be tuned from highly nonlinear to linear by the addition of a precompression force [3,4,6]. Additionally, it has been shown that homogeneous granular media can support families of strongly nonlinear traveling and standing waves [7], whereas heterogeneous media can exhibit resonance [8] and antiresonance phenomena [9]. Another interesting property of these materials is the reflection of the solitary waves at an interface between two granular crystals [10–12], which could be used to develop new impulse trapping granular materials [13–15]. Several groups have studied the interaction of a solitary wave with defect particles [16–19]. Two-dimensional (2D) granular crystals have been relatively unexplored, and prior works have mainly consisted of numerical studies or experiments visualizing dynamic stress in photoelastic disks [20–23]. Solitary waves have been observed in 2D square packings of spherical particles [24]. Granular crystals have been proposed as new structured materials for the control and redirection of stress waves (see, for example, Refs. [10,13,14,25–27]). The experiments reported in this paper provide the first observation of energy equipartition between two adjacent and nonlinearly coupled chains of particles. In particular, we show that when one chain is excited by an impulse while the other is at rest, the energy is redistributed between the two chains within a short spatial distance. A similar equipartition phenomenon has been studied numerically in an earlier work [28]. This phenomenon is of interest to create new acoustic waveguides, delay lines, and stress mitigating materials. Energy transfer and equipartition phenomena in weakly coupled 1D granular chains have been studied [28,29], and in Ref. [26] they have been studied through a macroscopic realization of the Landau-Zener tunneling quantum effect. The energy equipartition principle is well known for elastic waves. Seismic waves, for example, have well known regimes where the P - and S -wave energy densities equilibrate in a unique way

that is independent of the details of the scattering. The interaction of solitons in coupled nonlinear lattices (scalar models) has been considered for various classical configurations such as coupled Toda lattices [30], coupled nonlinear Schrodinger equations [31,32], or coupled Ablowitz-Ladik chains [33], for example. In the case of coupled Toda lattices, it was shown numerically that solitonic excitations supplied to each one of the coupled chains may result in the two distinct dynamical regimes (attractors) [30]. A nonuniform initial excitation (solitons with different amplitudes and/or phase mismatches) may lead to the formation of the identical solitons on each one of the chains, propagating with the same speed and zero phase mismatch (first attractor), as well as to the formation of two unequal solitons (i.e., with different amplitudes and phases also propagating with the same speed, second attractor). Here, we report an extension of the energy equipartition phenomena in 2D granular media perturbed by lines of intruders.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

We designed a 2D setup to study the response of a 2D square packing of elastic spheres with the presence of a line of interstitial defects (or intruders). It consisted of a flat polycarbonate base and four Delrin walls to support the particles. We assembled a 20 by 20 granular crystal composed of grade 316 stainless steel spheres ($R = 9.525$ mm radius) organized in a square packing configuration, and no precompression force was added to the system. A line of tungsten carbide intruders was placed in between the excited and absorbing chains, as shown in Fig. 1. The intruders were custom made spherical particles with radius $R_d = 3.943$ mm \pm 0.001 mm [34]. Custom-made Teflon stands were lodged in the interstitial sites below the intruders to keep the centers of mass of all the particles in the same horizontal plane. We excited one of the chains of particles adjacent to the intruders with a striker impact. The striker was a stainless steel sphere identical to the beads composing the square packing and its velocity was measured by an optical velocimeter. In this study, $v_{\text{impact}} = 0.147$ m/s, which corresponds to an impact force of 90 N. The stainless steel and tungsten carbide (TC) beads had densities of 8000 and 15 800 kg/m³ and elastic moduli of 193 and 400 GPa, respectively [35]. We built custom sensor particles using mini triaxial accelerometers [PCB




FIG. 1. (Color online) Schematic diagram of the experimental setup. The small (black) particles correspond to the spherical intruders. The red (gray) particles show the positions chosen for the placement of the sensor particles.

356A01, with a sensitivity of $0.51 \text{ mV}/(\text{m/s}^2)$ embedded in spherical particles located in every other position in the excited and absorbing chains. We then compared the output acceleration to numerical simulations.

III. NUMERICAL SETUP

We numerically model a square packing of 400 particles, plus the intruders and one striker particle as point masses connected by nonlinear springs, accordingly to the (conservative) Hertz interaction. The walls are modeled as still spherical particles of infinite radii. The equation of motion for particle i is

$$m_i \ddot{\vec{X}}_i = - \sum_j A_{i,j} [(\vec{X}_i - \vec{X}_j) \cdot \vec{e}_{ij}]_+^{\frac{3}{2}} \vec{e}_{ij}, \quad (1)$$

where \vec{X}_i is the vectorial displacement of the i th particle from its equilibrium uncompressed position, j sums over the number of neighbors directly interacting (i.e., in contact) with particle i , $A_{i,j} = \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{\frac{R_i R_j}{R_i + R_j}} \left(\frac{1 - \nu_i^2}{E_i} + \frac{1 - \nu_j^2}{E_j} \right)^{-1}$, and \vec{e}_{ij} is the unit vector connecting the centers of beads i and j , oriented from i to j . $[Y]_+$ denotes the positive part of Y as no interaction exists between particles when they lose contact. We numerically integrated Eq. (1) using a fourth-order Runge-Kutta scheme and chose a time step of $5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}$. This ensures conservation of the total energy with an accuracy better than $3 \times 10^{-7} \%$.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We study the temporal evolution of the transmitted acceleration along the x direction in the chains of particles adjacent to the intruders (Fig. 2). We compare results obtained from experiments [Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)] with the corresponding numerical simulations [Figs. 2(c) and 2(d)]. Each curve corresponds to a different sensor location placed in all even particles in the impacted chain and in the chain adjacent to the intruders (Fig. 1). Without the presence of the line of intruders, a solitary wave forms and propagates along the excited chain (pseudo-1D case, see Ref. [24]). The presence of intruders introduces a nonlinear coupling between the two chains, leading to reciprocal energy and momentum transfer between them. We observe in experiments and numerical simulations that the input energy of the leading propagating pulse (minus what is radiated in the transverse chains) is equally split between the excited chain and the absorbing chain after approximately 8 particles, as two distinct pulses with similar velocity and amplitude form and propagate down the two chains. We use the term “equipartition” to describe




FIG. 2. (Color online) Acceleration-time diagrams showing the evolution of the transmitted signal in the two chains of particles adjacent to the line of intruders, along the x direction. (a) Experimental results in the excited chain (at all even particles in the chain). (b) Experimental results in the absorbing chain. (c) Numerical data corresponding to panel (a). (d) Numerical data corresponding to panel (b). In all panels, the system is impacted by a stainless steel sphere of radius 9.525 mm with an initial velocity of 0.147 m/s. The horizontal black lines show the stabilized amplitude of the leading acceleration pulse ($\approx 800 \text{ m/s}^2$ in the numerical simulations and 400 m/s^2 in the experiments).

the phenomenon of equal division of energy and momentum between two adjacent granular chains after one of the two is excited by an impulse.

The intruders are also responsible for the scattering of energy in the y direction, and we observe the formation of solitary waves traveling perpendicular to the excited and absorbing chains. For each particle in these two chains, the amplitude of the velocity in the y direction is small ($\approx 20\%$) in comparison to that in the x direction. This corresponds to $\approx 4\%$ of the kinetic energy, and we neglect the leakage of energy in the transverse direction.

The amplitude of the wave traveling in the excited chain decreases drastically within the first few particles as energy is transferred to the absorbing chain through each light intruder. It is shown in Ref. [36] that 82.3% of the energy of a solitary wave reaching a TC intruder is transmitted along the chain after interacting with the intruder. The rest of the energy is scattered in adjacent and perpendicular chains, or reflected. Reversely, the amplitude of the wave propagating in the absorbing chain increases from 0 to the same level as the signal in the excited chain. It is important to note that these systems are tunable: the material selected for the intruders affects the speed of the energy transfer since weaker interactions between the line of intruders and the excited and absorbing chains will result in a slower transfer of energy. Numerical simulations and experiments have been performed by the authors for a line of Teflon defect particles (Young modulus, 1.26 GPa) in order to evidence this effect (not shown here).

We find excellent agreement between our numerical and experimental results [compare Fig. 2(a) with Fig. 2(c) for the excited chain; Fig. 2(b) with Fig. 2(d) for the absorbing chain]. The effect of dissipation is visible in the experimental data, and the stabilized amplitude reached in the two chains in experiments is smaller than in our numerical calculations. After equipartition, we observe in the numerical data a slow decrease of the amplitude of the two waves, due to radiations in the y direction, as the central light intruders push the heavy steel particles away from the centerline. Although we expect the same effect to be present in the experimental data, it is difficult to determine how much of the loss is accounted for by the radiations versus dissipation.

In the next section, we present an analytical model which explains how this fully 2D phenomenon can be described by a simplified one-and-a-half-dimensional (1.5D) system and compare this simplified theoretical model to our experimental and numerical results for the 2D system (similar in form to the one studied in Refs. [26,28,29]).

V. SIMPLIFIED 1.5D MODELIZATION AND COMPARISON WITH FULL 2D SYSTEM

Upon arrival of the incoming pulse, the presence of each intruder induces a displacement of the two larger spheres located after it in both the x and y directions. However, the amplitude of the waves traveling in the y direction is small. In our model, we neglect the energy loss in the y direction, constraining the particles of the excited and absorbing chains, and the intruders, to move only horizontally. For simplicity, we limit our analysis to modeling the dynamics of the intruders and the particles of the excited and absorbing chains. Although

all particles are constrained to move in the x direction, energy transfer between the excited and absorbing chains in the y direction is still possible due to the strongly nonlinear coupling induced by the line of intruders (1.5D system).

We denote by m , ν , E , and ρ the mass, Poisson's ratio, Young's modulus, and density of the heavy steel particles, respectively. The same quantities with subscript d correspond to the properties of the light TC defect particles. We then use the normalized displacement $x = \frac{X}{R}$ and time $\tau = t\sqrt{\frac{E}{\pi R^2 \rho}}$ to rewrite the set of equations (1) into the nondimensional equations describing the motions of the intruders (2), the particles composing the excited chain (3), and the particles composing the absorbing chain (4):

$$\epsilon x_i^{d''} = \beta [f(x_i^e - x_i^d) - f(x_{i+1}^a - x_i^d)] + \beta [f(x_i^e - x_i^d) - f(x_{i+1}^e - x_i^d)], \quad (2)$$

$$x_i^{e''} = \beta [f(x_i^e - x_{i-1}^d) - f(x_i^e - x_i^d)] + \alpha [g(x_{i-1}^e - x_i^e) - g(x_i^e - x_{i+1}^e)], \quad (3)$$

$$x_i^{a''} = \beta [f(x_{i-1}^d - x_i^a) - f(x_i^a - x_i^d)] + \alpha [g(x_{i-1}^a - x_i^a) - g(x_i^a - x_{i+1}^a)], \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}(1-\nu^2)}$, $\beta = \sqrt{\frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{2}} \frac{E_d}{E(1-\nu_d^2) + E_d(1-\nu^2)}$, and $\epsilon = \frac{m_d}{m}$ is our small parameter. We use $f(x) = (\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{x^2 + 1})_+^{3/2}$ and $g(x) = (x + 2)_+^{3/2}$. x is the scalar nondimensional displacement of the particles (constrained in the x direction) and x'' represents the second derivative with respect to the normalized time τ . The superscript d corresponds to the defect particles, e corresponds to the particles in the excited chain, and a corresponds to the particles in the absorbing chain. The subscript i indicates the position of every particle in their chains, oriented along the positive x axis.

For the materials chosen in our study, $\epsilon = 0.134$, $\beta = 1.022$, and we assume the ratio $\frac{\epsilon}{\beta}$ to be small enough to neglect the inertia of the intruders. Equating the right term of Eq. (2) to 0 leads to

$$f(x_i^e - x_i^d) - f(x_i^d - x_{i+1}^a) = -[f(x_i^a - x_i^d) - f(x_i^d - x_{i+1}^e)]. \quad (5)$$

We numerically showed (not presented in this paper) that the two sides of Eq. (5) are independently small and we can consequently assume

$$f(x_i^e - x_i^d) \approx f(x_i^d - x_{i+1}^a), \quad (6)$$

$$f(x_i^a - x_i^d) \approx f(x_i^d - x_{i+1}^e).$$

This means that, to a first-order approximation, the diagonal forces applied on a defect particle are independent of each other. Eq. (6) yields

$$x_i^d \approx \frac{x_i^e + x_{i+1}^a}{2} \approx \frac{x_i^a + x_{i+1}^e}{2}. \quad (7)$$

Further analytical treatment assumes that the right-hand side and the left-hand side of Eqs. (6) and (7) are equal. We can replace x_i^d and x_{i-1}^d in Eqs. (3) and (4) to obtain a system of equations for the excited and absorbing chains only. To incorporate the radiation effect, we finally add a linear damping

term in order to account for the decay caused by the energy leakage in the transverse direction:

$$x_i^{e''} = \beta \left[f \left(\frac{x_{i-1}^e - x_i^e}{2} \right) - f \left(\frac{x_i^e - x_{i+1}^e}{2} \right) \right] + \alpha [g(x_{i-1}^e - x_i^e) - g(x_i^e - x_{i+1}^e)] - \lambda x_i^{e'}, \quad (8)$$

$$x_i^{a''} = \beta [f(x_{i-1}^a - x_i^a) - f(x_i^a - x_{i+1}^a)] + \alpha [g(x_{i-1}^a - x_i^a) - g(x_i^a - x_{i+1}^a)] - \lambda x_i^{a'}. \quad (9)$$

We used a vectorized fourth-order Runge-Kutta scheme to integrate this simplified set of equations. The numerical setup consists of two adjacent chains of 20 particles each (absorbing and excited chains) and 19 interstitial intruders. Similarly as before, the walls are modeled as fixed spherical particles of infinite radius. The excited chain is impacted by a striker particle with initial velocity obtained from experiments. We show the numerical solution in Fig. 3. We clearly observe the energy equipartition previously evidenced in our experiments and in the fully 2D numerical simulations. Similarly to what was observed earlier, also in the 1.5D model the velocity stabilizes in the two chains after approximately 8 particles.

The results obtained from the simplified 1.5D model are compared with the fully 2D system in Fig. 4. The only fitting parameter used in the 1.5D model is the linear damping coefficient λ (dimensionless), which is taken to be 0.006. The results show that the 1.5D approximation captures very well the main features of the 2D system: the energy equipartition is reached after the same number of particles, and the amplitudes of the leading pulses are in very good agreement.




FIG. 3. (Color online) x velocity vs time signals obtained for the 1.5D model with linear damping coefficient λ equal to 0.006. Each curve represents the velocity of every particle in the excited chain (a) and the absorbing chain (b). The system is impacted by a stainless steel sphere of radius 9.525 mm with an initial velocity of 0.147 m/s.




FIG. 4. (Color online) Comparison of the numerical x velocity vs time signals obtained from the 2D and simplified 1.5D models (from Fig. 3). The results for the excited chain are shown in panel (a), and panel (b) shows the results for the absorbing chain. In both plots, the curves are obtained from the 2D model, whereas the purple stars indicate the peak amplitudes of the corresponding signals obtained from the 1.5D model.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper we showed with experiments and numerical simulations that the mechanism of equipartition of solitary waves previously observed in linearly coupled, nonlinear lattices persists in full 2D granular setups with a more complex type of coupling (strongly nonlinear, nonsmooth, diagonal coupling via light interstitial intruders). This energy equipartition phenomenon could be used for the creation of novel acoustic delay lines, waveguides, and protective materials. The regime of the primary pulse transmission in the strongly nonlinear, heterogeneous, 2D granular crystals is well captured by our simplified, reduced-order model (granular scalar model). The results of the present work will pave the way for further analytical, numerical, and experimental studies of the mechanisms of energy transfer and wave redirection in higher-dimensional granular crystals. Moreover, the mechanism of equipartition of solitary pulses in granular crystals realized through the placement of interstitial intruders is of great practical importance in the design of granular shock absorbers being able to efficiently distribute the initially localized shock over the entire granular medium.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

All the authors acknowledge support from the Army Research Office, MURI Grant No. US ARO W911NF-09-1-0436. C.D. acknowledges the National Science Foundation (Grant No. 844540).

- [1] H. Hertz, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **92**, 156 (1881).
- [2] V. F. Nesterenko, *J. Appl. Mech. Tech. Phys.* **24**, 733 (1983); A. N. Lazaridi and V. F. Nesterenko, *ibid.* **26**, 405 (1985).
- [3] V. F. Nesterenko, *Dynamics of Heterogeneous Materials* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001).
- [4] C. Coste, E. Falcon, and S. Fauve, *Phys. Rev. E* **56**, 6104 (1997).
- [5] M. A. Porter *et al.*, *Phys. D* **238**, 666 (2009).
- [6] C. Daraio, V. F. Nesterenko, E. B. Herbold, and S. Jin, *Phys. Rev. E* **73**, 026610 (2006).
- [7] Y. Starosvetsky and A. F. Vakakis, *Phys. Rev. E* **82**, 026603 (2010).
- [8] K. R. Jayaprakash *et al.* (unpublished).
- [9] K. R. Jayaprakash, Y. Starosvetsky, and A. F. Vakakis, *Phys. Rev. E* **83**, 036606 (2011).
- [10] V. F. Nesterenko *et al.*, *J. Appl. Mech. Tech. Phys.* **36**, 2 (1995).
- [11] L. Vergara, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 108002 (2005).
- [12] V. F. Nesterenko, C. Daraio, E. B. Herbold, and S. Jin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 158702 (2005).
- [13] J. Hong and A. Xu, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **81**, 4868 (2002).
- [14] J. Hong, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 108001 (2005).
- [15] C. Daraio, V. F. Nesterenko, E. B. Herbold, and S. Jin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 058002 (2006).
- [16] E. Hascoët and H. J. Hermann, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **14**, 183 (2000).
- [17] S. Job, F. Santibanez, F. Tapia, and F. Melo, *Phys. Rev. E* **80**, 025602(R) (2009).
- [18] M. Manciu *et al.*, *Phys. A* **274**, 607 (1999).
- [19] L. Ponso, N. Boechler, Y. M. Lai, M. A. Porter, P. G. Kevrekidis, and C. Daraio, *Phys. Rev. E* **82**, 021301 (2010).
- [20] H. P. Rossmanith and A. Shukla, *Acta Mech.* **42**, 211 (1982).
- [21] A. Shukla *et al.*, *Opt. Lasers Eng.* **19**, 99 (1993).
- [22] R. Singh *et al.*, *Int. J. Solids Struct.* **32**, 2523 (1995).
- [23] M. Nishida, K. Tanaka, and T. Ishida, *Shock Waves*, 26th International Symposium on Shock Waves, Vol. 2, Part X (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009), pp. 815–820 [http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007%2F978-3-540-85181-3_3].
- [24] A. Leonard, F. Fraternali, and C. Daraio, *Exp. Mech.* **53**, 327 (2013).
- [25] A. Leonard and C. Daraio, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 214301 (2012).
- [26] M. A. Hasan, Y. Starosvetsky, A. F. Vakakis, and L. I. Manevitch, *Physica D*, doi: 10.1016/j.physd.2013.02.011.
- [27] N. Boechler *et al.*, *Nat. Mater.* **10**, 665 (2011).
- [28] Y. Starosvetsky *et al.*, *J. Comp. Nonlinear Dyn.* (to be published).
- [29] Y. Starosvetsky *et al.*, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **72**, 337 (2012).
- [30] P. G. Kevrekidis *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. A* **303**, 328 (2002).
- [31] Y. Jiang, B. Tian, W. J. Liu, K. Sun, M. Li, and P. Wang, *Phys. Rev. E* **85**, 036605 (2012).
- [32] Trombettoni *et al.*, *Math. Comp. Sim.* **80**, 814 (2009).
- [33] B. A. Malomed *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. A* **302**, 163 (2002).
- [34] Ball-tec, <http://www.precisionballs.com/>.
- [35] www.mcmaster.com, www.matweb.com.
- [36] I. Szelengowicz, P. G. Kevrekidis, and C. Daraio, *Phys. Rev. E* **86**, 061306 (2012).